

P E T I T I O N

To the City of Au Gres,
Arenac County,
Michigan.

The undersigned Allen Parkinson and Lila Parkinson, respectfully petition the City of Au Gres, Arenac County, Michigan to take such steps as may be necessary to extend East Street as it appears on the Plat of Parkinsons' Subdivision to the public street lying north of said Subdivision.

In support of this Petition, Petitioners represent that Parkinsons' Subdivision is owned entirely by themselves, except properties previously conveyed and now owned by Mr. and Mrs. Cecil R. Hartwick and Mr. and Mrs. Irvin E. Reichle, and further, that these Petitioners do own a strip of land sixty-six (66) feet wide and twelve-hundred (1200) feet deep, extending from Main Street to the river, which is separated from the Subdivision by a sixty-six (66) foot lot owned by Mrs. Dingman, and further that this northerly parcel of land as owned by these Petitioners does border the street extending in an easterly direction to Main Street.

Petitioners further represent that the so-called Dingman property is undeveloped, but that an obstruction has been placed on the border of this property, to prevent passage from the street adjacent to the northerly parcel of Petitioners' property through to the properties involved in Parkinsons' Subdivision.

Petitioners general purpose of this Petition seeks to make it possible for present and future occupants of the lots in Parkinsons' Subdivision to have access to the public highway at the northerly side of Petitioners property, and thus

create a circular access to Main Street, from said Subdivision, which these Petitioners hope and expect to develop into a residential area, involving approximately fifteen (15) new homes.

Petitioners suggest that from the tax standpoint, this would be an advantageous development for the City...particularly in the light of the lack of tax benefits pertaining to the obstructing property.

Petitioners further suggest that the dangers of the snow obstruction are doubled by having only one (1) means of exit, and further that an unnatural and uncalled for disutility in the form of dust noise and lack of privacy burdens these occupants of the southern section of this Subdivision, and will become more burdensome if and when the balance of the Subdivision is developed and inhabited.

With regard to the two (2) exit system, these Petitioners feel that it would be a great advantage to the inhabitants of the Subdivision particularly in case of emergency sickness, fires, etc.

Petitioners further call attention to the fact that they have granted an easement over Lot 9 for turn-around purposes for heavy equipment...such as, snow plows, road graders, and that by reason of this easement, it naturally follows that Lot 9 cannot be placed on the market, in the normal range of development.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

Allen Parkinson
Allen Parkinson

Lila Parkinson
Lila Parkinson